

REMARKS

The Advisory Action mailed May 25, 2004 indicates that claims 1-8 are allowed, and that claims 9-10 are rejected.


During a telephone discussion with Mr. Cain on May 26, 2004, he indicated that the rejection of claims 9-10 is being maintained because they are set forth in product by process format, and that in order to achieve allowance it would be necessary to show that the claimed product is different from the prior art products.

Claims 9-10 have now been cancelled, leaving allowed claims 1-8 remaining in the application.

Accordingly, the application is now considered to be in condition for allowance. Such allowance is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Chicara KAWAMURA et al.

By: 
Michael R. Davis
Registration No. 25,134
Attorney for Applicants

MRD/ksh
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone: 202-721-8200
Facsimile: 202-721-8250
June 8, 2004